

Hollymead Citizens Association
Special Meeting
April 14, 2008
7:00 p.m. – 9:00 p.m.
Silver Thatch Inn

Approved Minutes

Board Members Present

Charlie Smith (President)
Jim Bone
Pete Chapman (Vice President)
Nancy Zingrone (Secretary)
Anna Freshwater
Carole McIvor
Ivo Romensko

1. Old Business

a. Special Meeting to Discuss Pool Conversion to Salt Water System

b. Special Agenda for April 14th, 2008
Agenda approved

c. Pete Chapman's right to vote

Board discussed whether Pete Chapman could vote on the adoption of a new water management system for the pool. Carole McIvor moved that because Peter Chapman does not benefit materially from this decision, he could vote when the motion to convert came up. Nancy Zingrone seconded. Pete Chapman abstained. Motion passed unanimously.

c. Possible Options for the Pool Conversion

Pete Chapman and Charlie Smith presented the Hollymead Pool Budget/Expenses for 2008, the Hollymead Pool Lifeguard Budget, the Anticipated Hollymead Pool Income for 2008, and approved 2008 Hollymead Pool Maintenance and Improvements budget. Four options with financial breakdowns were presented: Option 1: Mid-range commercial salt water system from Chlor King; Option 2: Best Commercial Salt Water System from TMI; Option 3: Continue with Current System; and Option 4: Convert to a Liquid Chlorine System. Disadvantages, advantages and costs of all four options were reviewed. Principle disadvantage of Option 3 was the necessity to close the pool two for washing, that significantly more water needed to be used over a season, that build-up of chlorine in the pool could pose a health hazard and that constant maintenance was required to maintain a healthy balance. Principal disadvantage of Option 4 was the requirement of storing hazardous liquid chemicals on the pool grounds. Options 1 and 2 were discussed. Charlie and Pete presented the results of their investigation with other pool managers in the area who had converted to the salt water system. Principle advantages were seen to be that the disadvantages of Options 3 and 4 could be avoided, after conversion yearly expenses would be significantly less such that the conversion would pay for itself in 5-6 years, monitoring systems were software based and could be accessed from anywhere, daily maintenance would be significantly lessened. Possible disadvantages were also discussed among them the corrosiveness of the sale, the big investment for a small neighborhood summer pool. It was noted that \$19,000 had already been budgeted for a salt water pool conversation but that both Options 1 and 2 brought additional costs over what was budgeted. After a protracted discussion, Carole McIvor moved that the Board empowers the Finance Committee to negotiate and install for the best possible price, not to exceed \$40,142.00 in total, a salt water

conversation system for the Hollymead Pool. Ivo Romanesko seconded the motion. The motion passed unanimously.

2. Adjournment

Pete Chapman moved for adjournment. Carole McIvor seconded. The motion passed and the meeting was adjourned.